The Defense Health Research Consortium

July 8, 2021

The Honorable Betty McCollum  The Honorable Ken Calvert
Chair Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Defense Subcommittee on Defense
Committee on Appropriations Committee on Appropriations
H-405 Capitol Building 1016 Longworth House Office Bldg
Washington, DC 20515 Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chair McCollum and Ranking Member Calvert:

The undersigned members of the Defense Health Research Consortium (DHRC) write to express our support for retaining the existing structure at the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program (CDMRP) utilized to solicit, review and award research grants, specifically the peer review grant process that is so unique to this program. We are concerned about proposals for awarding grants recently submitted to your committee that would establish a pilot initiative for several programs at CDMRP utilizing mechanisms such as other transaction authorities (OTAs).

As you know, each of the separate programs at CDMRP is guided by a specific vision and mission statement which, in addition to incorporating Congressional direction, reflect rapid change in knowledge, address research gaps, and prevent duplication. These programs utilize an efficient multi-tiered process that includes multiple stages of peer review, including two levels of formal peer review of final proposals. Proposals are scored in a number of key areas such as scientific merit and impact for patients and the military, providing a robust comparative basis for helping accomplish the program’s mission of finding and funding the best research related to these important medical conditions.

All defense health research programs incorporate the full and equal participation of consumer reviewers at every stage of the multi-tiered review process – a novel and valuable practice in medical research funding. Consumers – people actually affected by the disease or medical condition – help ensure the program’s funded research will have the greatest impact on those who are affected. Consumer reviewers also help inform and educate their disease advocacy communities and others.

Proponents of utilizing OTAs claim that their use will reduce overhead costs at CDMRP. Overhead at CDMRP remains extraordinarily low compared to other similar grant funding federal programs. For the past year, CDMRP’s management costs remains at approximately 6.8 percent, compared to other federal research funding agencies with overhead rates well over 10 percent. There is a cost associated with the rigor of a multi-tiered peer review process, but we believe that this expenditure is more than necessary to ensure that the best and most impactful research is funded by CDMRP. This comprehensive review process has more than paid for itself, as the outcomes of research that have been funded through this process have saved lives and reduced health care costs for millions of Americans.
In short, the well-executed and efficient programs at CDMRP demonstrate responsible government stewardship of taxpayer dollars and benefit current and former military service members, the general patient population, and our nation’s economy. Replacing the consumer input and rigor involved in the existing peer review process with mechanisms like OTAs would jeopardize the quality of research and its positive impact on the men and women of the U.S. Armed Services and our nation’s veterans.

Sincerely,
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